•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/828,408	LAKSHMANAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Suchin Parihar	2825
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowable</u>		
(1) <u>Suchin Parihar (Examiner)</u> .	(3)	
(2) Henry Groth, Ass't to Eric Whitesell (38657).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1/23/2007	Time:	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		·
Claims discussed: 7-10 and 14		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
Buchin Parili		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative S	ignature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested that Applicant amend claims 7-10 and 14 wherein the recited phrase "The computer program product" be changed to "The computer readable storage medium" as recited in the parent claim, claim 6, so that claims 7-10 and 14 properly depend from their parent claim. Applicant agreed to the changes and suggested the Examiner's Amendment be implemented by the Examiner.

Suchin Parihar Examiner AU 2825 571-272-6210